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Workshop goals

1. Share a common vision on theory underlying evaluating creativity
2. Become familiar with the research results regarding both criteria and scoring rubrics applicable to creativity
3. Reflect on how to transfer and use the assessment tools resulting from this research in one's own field

- Research problem
- Research literature review
- Tools resulting from the research
- Time to reflect and share
- In conclusion...
Bad news…

« There is no one perfect test for creativity. »

(Kaufmann, Plucker et Baer, 2008, p. 2)

Good news...

• ...using competency-based evaluation as a framework, notably the use of professional judgment...
Context of the research problem

- Being creative, especially using one’s creative thinking skills are sought after qualities in today’s job market

- Research conducted at Cégep Marie-Victorin (a.k.a. Marie-Vic) in Montreal

- Study program outcomes refer to creativity
  - Product, process and person

- Sparked curiosity as to the quality of evaluation of creative learning
Getting to the source of the problem

• Self-evaluation report on the application of the Institutional Student Evaluation Policy (ISEP or PIEA) conducted at Marie-Vic (Allaire et al., 2008)

Time to reflect...

• What difficulties do you experience when you evaluate creative learning?
Research problem

Difficulties that teachers encounter when evaluating creative learning:

1. Variety of interpretations of the concept of creativity
2. Subjective aspect linked to professional judgment
3. Rigour involved in evaluating complex tasks
4. Defining precise criteria for creative learning
5. Constructing reliable and valid evaluation grids
6. Not enough professional development workshops on the topic
Research purpose

Define precise criteria pertaining to creative learning and construct an evaluation grid using descriptive scales in order to help teachers from the targeted study programs who encounter difficulties when evaluating creative learning.

- Developmental research (Harvey et Loiselle, 2009)
- Three study programs
  - Fashion Design
  - Visual Arts
  - Special Education
Evaluation in a competency-based approach (Scallon, 2004; Tardif, 2006; ...)

- **assessment** (evaluation, judgment)
  - performance assessment and authentic assessment

- **professional judgment assessment tools**
  - evaluation grids, criteria and descriptive scales

- **importance of formative assessment**
  - feedback on progress of learning – understanding criteria
  - student participation in evaluation – self-monitoring

- **concept of competency and the 3P**
  - objects of evaluation – the 3P - **product, process and discourse**
Evaluation of creative learning (Starko, 2005; Treffinger et al., 2002; ...)

- subscribes to the same notions as evaluation in a competency-based approach
  - use of the word **creative** before each P
  - use of the word **person** (includes discourse)
  - use of **multiple sources of data** in collecting the necessary information to support professional judgment of the 3P

Time to reflect...

- What sources of data do you use in collecting the necessary information to evaluate creative learning during a complex open-ended task?
## Sources of data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Creative product</th>
<th>Creative process</th>
<th>Creative person/discourse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Presentation portfolio</td>
<td>• Learning portfolio</td>
<td>• Self-evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Final production resulting from an authentic, open-ended task</td>
<td>• Research file</td>
<td>• Reflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Project</td>
<td>• Study file</td>
<td>• List of personality traits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Artwork</td>
<td>• Journal</td>
<td>• Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Demonstration</td>
<td>• Interview</td>
<td>• Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Performance</td>
<td>• Verification list</td>
<td>• Oral presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Etc.</td>
<td>• Work log</td>
<td>• Written argument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Progress sheet</td>
<td>• Etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Literature review (3 of 3)

Concept of creativity main model (Filteau, 2009, p. 69)
When evaluating creative learning, one should consider...

Creative product
- specific qualities (expectations) of the final production resulting from an authentic, open-ended task

Creative process
- stages observed during the production or process
- creative thinking processes (divergent and convergent thinking)
- mobilization of resources specific to the field

Creative person/discourse
- specific behaviours and personality traits
- reflection (metacognition) on one’s work and processes
Presentation of the assessment tools

Concept stage

- First set of tables that describe, categorize and compare data from the three study programs concerning the 5P of the concept of creativity model of Filteau (2009)

- Second set of tables that define, categorize and compare data from the three study programs concerning criteria used to evaluate the 3P

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highlights of this stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>similarities between the three study programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trend towards a generic form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formulation of generic evaluation criteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time to reflect...

- What criteria do you use when evaluating creative learning?
Eleven generic criteria

Creative product (4)

- coherent choices consistent with the objective and the intent developed by the student
- adapted to the context; relevant for the targeted persons
- polished and harmonious characteristics, attesting to an innovative approach
- convincing rendering due to skilful utilization of techniques and means of expression

**Bold** type = indicator of the criterion and text in *italics* = quality of the criterion
Eleven generic criteria

Creative process (4)

- **process observed** is *dynamique* and *personnelle* and in the spirit of the **proposed process**
- **relevant research** conducted *before* and *during production*
- **clear demonstration** of the four **skills** associated with creative thinking
  - fluidity, flexibility, originality and complexity
- **relevant utilization** of **knowledge, techniques and language** specific to the field

*Bold* type = **indicator** of the criterion and text in *italics* = **quality of the criterion**
Eleven generic criteria

Creative person/discourse (3)

- *in-depth, accurate reflection; sensitive, justified and coherent interpretation*

- *convincing communication* (oral or written) due to an organized, *clear structure* and *appropriate utilization* of language and *vocabulary specific* to the field

- *assured demonstration of professional behaviours* and *personal attitudes* deemed important to the field

**Bold** type = indicator of the criterion and text in *italics* = quality of the criterion
Presentation of the assessment tools

Production stage

• construction of an evaluation grid with three descriptive scales...one for each P

Elements that were taken into account:

1. Number of descriptive scales
2. Type of descriptive scales
3. Decisive criteria
4. Number of performance levels
5. Generic aspect of formulating the performance levels
6. Glossaries accompanying each descriptive scale
7. Description of the performance levels
8. Weighting and marking scheme
Presentation of the assessment tools

Validation stage

- the same 3 research participants / study programs

Interview questions:

1. **Coherence** of the generic evaluation criteria
2. **Relevance** of the three descriptive scales
3. **Comprehension** of the criteria and the glossaries
4. **Accuracy** of the descriptive scales
5. **Use** of the assessment tools
Highlights from the validation stage:

- Unanimous as to the coherence of the generic evaluation criteria
- Relevance as to defining and separating the criteria into three distinct descriptive scales according to the 3P
- Appreciation for the glossaries – helpful in understanding
- Accuracy of the scales depends on the subject area, the course, its position in the program and the learning outcomes...
- Use and adaptability of the assessment tools is possible because of their generic form
Research findings can be transferred

Time to reflect on the last workshop goal...
  • How to transfer and use the assessment tools resulting from this research in one’s own field

• Share thoughts with your neighbour...
  • What key ideas do you retain from this presentation?
  • How can you transfer these ideas when constructing assessment tools in your subject area?

• Share a few thoughts with the audience...
In conclusion...

Research report can be found online at the College Documentation Centre (CDC)


Creative Commons contract

1. Cite the name of the original author
2. Use of the tools for commercial purposes are prohibited
3. Distribute any adapted tools under an identical contract

THANK YOU! angela.mastracci@collegemv.qc.ca
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